

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/855,917   | 05/15/2001     | James A. Brownlee    | LCB 317                 | 9463             |
| 7  | 590 02/27/2002 |                      |                         |                  |
| Robert A. McCann, Esq. Panduit Corp. Legal Department TP12 |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|  |                |                      | BRITTAIN, JAMES R       |                  |
| 17301 S. Ridgeland Avenue<br>Tinley Park, IL 60477         |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                |                      | 3626                    | 2                |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/27/2002 | 3                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary   |  | Application No.         | Applicant(s)                                       |  |  |  |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 09/855,917              | BROWNLEE ET AL.                                    |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner                | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |  | James R Brittain        | 3626   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Status<br>1)□   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| ∟(י<br>2a)⊟   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                         |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7)🖂   | Claim(s) <u>2 <i>and</i> 7-9</u> is/are objected to.   | •                       |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a cable tie, classified in class 24, subclass 16pb.
- II. Claims 10-17, drawn to a method of forming a cable tie, classified in class264, subclass 163.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the article can be made by a different method such as machining the cable tie from a block of material or forming a single centered thickened portion of thickness T<sub>3</sub> greater than the thickness of the neck region at its sides that would have thickness T<sub>2</sub>.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3626

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Robert A. McCann on February 19, 2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-17 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "upper side" (claim 6, line 2) and "lower side" (claim 5, line 2) are not defined relative to any structure on the cable tie so as to distinguish between them. If the tie is extending horizontally and then turned over, the side that was up would then be down. It is unclear which side is "upper" and which side is "lower".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3626

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rohaly (US 5669111).

Rohaly (figures 1-5) teaches cable tie structure with a neck section that has a width that transitions from the width of the strap to the width of the cable tie head as shown in figure 3. The strap accepting channel 28 is substantially perpendicular to the intermediate section of the strap 12. The neck section has a reduced thickness at the gusset 26 that is thinner than the intermediate section of the strap 12 as shown in figure 4. The thickened side portions 24 increase the cross-sectional area of the neck so that it is greater than the intermediate section of the strap as shown in figures 3-5. As to claim 4, the gusset 26 is considered to be a channel with a circular perimeter. In regard to claims 5 and 6, turning the strap over would place the channel 26 on either the upper or lower side.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3626

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents of Caveney et al. (US 5146654), Paradis (US 4658478), Benoit (US 5685048), Caveney (US 4001898) and Sorensen et al. (US 5263231) teach pertinent cable tie structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R Brittain whose telephone number is 703-308-2222. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 to 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

James R Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit 3626

JRB February 25, 2002